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Abstract: The article provides insights into the economic and sociological analysis of the oil and gas enterprises of the Republic of Tatarstan. The article deals with the increase of competitiveness of the regional commodity producers and put emphasis on the handy tools of economic indicators estimation. Special attention is paid to the structural analysis of dynamics of indicators by types of the economic activities, corresponding to an industrial profile of Republic Tatarstan. Based on the semi-structured interviews with head of oil and gas enterprises of the Republic of Tatarstan key tendencies, advantages and challenges were identified in the field. Main trend include improvement of the main financial and economic indicators, strengthening global competition in the main commodity markets, transition to modern technologies and advancement of separate production.
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INTRODUCTION

Thus, the necessity of competitive positioning is caused by the following factors:

- Adequate estimation of the efficiency of competitive interaction and the current position in each industrial market;
- Comparative analysis of present competitive position against that of competitors, determination of potential and real competitors;
- Revealing objective-subjective, stable-unstable, short-term-sustainable competitive advantages;
- Determination of strategic and operational problems in competitiveness increase and competitive advantages development;
- The targeted character of administrative influence including development of competitive advantages and possibilities, resistance to external threats.
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Specialized books on strategic management may suggest various approaches to the definition of competitive positions [5-9]. Many concepts universally present a competitive position as a relative category reflecting the level of competitiveness of an entity in industry. In the context of the approach considered in the present research, competitive position is suggested to be determined as position of a kind of economic activity of a territory fixed for a certain point of time against that of competitors estimated by a limited set of quantitative and qualitative parameters. Accordingly, positioning is the process of identification of competitive position of economic activities of a territory against that of competitors. An active competitive position is formed by implementation of a structural policy during which an efficient control of territorial competitive advantages is brought about. The latter changes the level of competitiveness in industrial market and determines the subsequent competitive actions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This research used an interdisciplinary approach combined of economic and sociological methods. The research was conducted from April till August 2013.

The sociological methods involved semi-structured interviews with head of oil and gas enterprises. Purposive sampling was used to select a sample of 10 experts from large, middle and small scale oil and gas enterprises of the Republic of Tatarstan. The key informant interview questions generally sought insights into the level of the company’s competitiveness and associated problems, along with analyses efficiency of the enterprises. Some specific questions were asked to interviewees to draw on specialized areas of expertise (financial and economic policy, human resource policy, environmental policy, etc.).

The economic methods involve economic modeling. Here one of the most widespread methods of competitive positioning are matrix models. Nowadays there are various modifications of matrix models: BCG, GE/McKinsey, Arthur DLittle, Shell, Abell-Hammond, Hofer-Shendel models, etc. The criteria of competitive positions in such models are competitiveness - appeal of a market or a branch (GE/McKinsey, Shell, Abell-Hammond models), a relative competitive position - a stage of the market development (Hofer-Shendel model), relative position in the market - life cycle stages (Arthur DLittle model).

Matrix models consider both internal (competitiveness of a product, business, a strategic business district) and external factors (appeal of a market, a branch).

Analyzing competitive positions of economic activities it is expedient to use such matrix models to estimate competitive advantages of territories by competitiveness factors.

Analyzing competitive advantages of economic activities quantitative and qualitative indicators of competitiveness may be combined in two integral indicators which will be matrix model coordinate axes: the first one - competitive advantages by production factor, the second - appeal of an industrial market. The appeal of an industrial market may be expressed by a small group of indicators reflecting its dynamics (the rates of shipped products volume increase), financial and economic (profit, profitability, investments into a fixed capital, reserve for depreciation, wage fund) and social characteristics (average number of personnel, monthly average wage). The values of localization factor in statics and dynamics best correspond to the axes of coordinates.

According to our theoretical analysis economic literature points out three primary industrial markets characteristics: concentration, scale and differentiation of a product. Besides the fact that each of them has an independent function their various combinations has a complex influence on branch structure specificity. For example, product differentiation and concentration influence intra-branch competition between manufacturers in prices and marginal profits. Economy of scale, product differentiation and the relevant brand loyalty determine probability of a new market entry as well as opportunity to maintain higher prices so as not to involve external competition. All three elements provide monopolistic advantages forming market entry-exit barriers. Thereby the structure can determine not only the highest price which can be set without entry threat but also probable co-operation of existing manufacturers to achieve this ceiling price avoiding a price competition among themselves. However if product differentiation can act as a single entry barrier, there is a strong interrelation between concentration and economy of scale, therefore they operate together. In this connection when managing competitive advantages in industrial markets it is required to pay attention to the independent analysis of not only the economic essence of these factors but also of interrelation between them.

Thus, concentration of production reflects relative size and quantity of manufacturers operating in an industrial market. The smaller is the number of manufacturers, the higher is the concentration level. At the same quantity of manufacturers in the market: the less they differ from each other by size, the lower is the concentration level. The result of an independent choice
of output and production price made by a manufacturer is defined by the feedback of competitors acting in the market. Concentration level influences propensity of manufacturers to rivalry or cooperation: the less manufacturers act in a market, the easier it is for them to acknowledge interdependence from each other and the more likely they will resort to cooperation. Therefore we may suggest that the higher is concentration level, the less competitive and the more monopolized will be the market.

Since 1982 The United States Department of Justice has used Herfindahl-Hirschman index as the basic characteristic of the industrial markets structure. This index is defined as the sum of the squares of the shipped production shares of each entity (territory) in the industrial market:

\[ S_n = \text{a share of the industry of an } n \text{ territory in the total country production shipped.} \]

If the value of the index is within the range from 0 to 1000 the market is considered poorly concentrated, in the range from 1000 to 1800 - moderately concentrated, over 1800 - strongly concentrated.

When analyzing the industrial markets structure at meso-level the concentration index needs to be supplemented with localization factor:

\[ II_j = \text{the factor of localization of industrial production by } j \text{ kind of economic activity of an } n \text{ territory;} \]

\[ Djkn = \text{the share of shipped production of } j \text{ kind of economic activity in the total amount of shipped production by } k \text{ section of the Russian National Classifier of Economic Activities } (k=1; 6) \text{ or by the total industry of an } n \text{ territory;} \]

\[ Djk = \text{the share of shipped production of } j \text{ kind of economic activity in the total amount of shipped production by } k \text{ section of the Russian National Classifier of Economic Activities } (k=1; 6) \text{ or by the nationwide industry.} \]

If the calculated values of the factor are greater than unity, this kind of economic activity is a profile one for the territory possessing competitive advantages and potentially attractive for the further development (at equality 1 - kind of economic activity satisfies only local demand and it is focused mainly on home market). If the localization factor is less than a unit the territory does not specialize in this kind of economic activity, internal demand is replaced mostly with import from other territories.

The estimation of localization factor dynamics is assumed to be reasonable: its growth may testify to the strengthening of competitive advantages in the industry markets and favorable prospects of development, while decrease may testify to aggravation of competition or deterioration of market conditions which will demand accelerated competitive advantages, diversification of production factors with entrance to the adjacent markets or decreased business activity in the given kind of economic activity.

The localization factor can be calculated both with magnitude of indicators (gross value added, the quantity of enterprises, basic production assets, amortization, wage fund, the number of employees, investments into fixed capital) and with their growth rates. For example, the calculation of localization factor by the quantity of employees can be carried out in the following way:

\[ Ijn = \text{The quantity of employees in } j \text{ kind of economic activity of an } n \text{ territory;} \]

\[ ln = \text{The quantity of workers employed in the industry in } n \text{ territory;} \]

\[ Lj = \text{The quantity of workers employed in } j \text{ kind of economic activity in the country;} \]

\[ L = \text{The quantity of workers employed in the nationwide industry;} \]

Localization factor enables to express a new indicator - the average size of enterprises (output for 1 enterprise - the relation of production shipped and the quantity of large and average enterprises in } j \text{ kind of economic activity).}

A high level of concentration can arise due to economy of scale which allows relatively large manufacturers to turn out and sell goods with lower average costs than that of relatively small manufacturers. Some definitions even underline interrelation of concentration and economy of scale. Thus, domestic experts point out that concentration is the process of centralization of shipped production at large manufacturers to apply scale effect and agglomeration effect. In this regard the scale effect is defined as a tool of the theory of production functions - relation between changed volumes of resources used and changed related production results. The agglomeration effect is considered as economic benefits from territorial concentration of production and other economic entities.
Thus, matrix is suggested to be positioned in four quadrants (Fig. 1).

According to Fig. 1, the most attractive kinds of economic activity will get in quadrant II as it is characterized by high localization and its positive dynamics. Strong competitive advantages in this case will be possessed by profile kinds of economic activity for the territory. Having compared profile activities by each production factor with the economic activity position on the matrix, it is also possible to define which factor the greatest impact on the competitive position of the industry market has. If accumulation of competitive advantages in production factor is accompanied by the growth of localization factor, the given factor will be significant for a competitive position of an economic activity.

The analysis of matrix for several periods gives an assumption of typical strategies of development for each quadrant. Thus, for economic activities which are in quadrant I (low localization but high dynamics), offensive strategy may be recommended preliminarily - competitive advantages accumulation (with long-term tendencies being preserved). Thus it is necessary to consider technological characteristics of such economic activities, availability of the relevant adequate factors of production and infrastructure, opportunities to cooperate with enterprises within a territory as well as potential risks connected with the given kinds of activity development.

If a negative dynamics in the long-term period (for example if it is connected with the long crisis phenomena) and high localization of economic activities (quadrant IV) continue, production diversification through adjacent markets with the best indicators should be considered. However it is necessary to consider that quadrant IV may be filled with socially significant economic activities (for example, food production) which are focused, as a rule, on the internal (territorial) market and not always act as competitors of domestic producers. In addition this quadrant may include such economic activities which are direct suppliers of profile manufacturers of a territory (for example, act as an element of branch cluster providing competitive advantages by means of transaction costs) and curtailment of production aimed at these activities will affect competitive positions of the latter. Accordingly, economic activities in quadrant III (if it is not new markets with innovative products) may be neglected (waiting strategy).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The economic analysis of the industrial profile of the Republic of Tatarstan by the localization factor calculated via the volume of the organizations’ turnover has shown that the most essential influence on the region economy belongs to the following economic activities (the indicator values > 2):
Petrochemical Cluster:
- Synthetic rubber production (25.3488);
- Manufacture of rubber tyres, tyre casings and inner tubes (15.1914);
- Manufacture of plastic and synthetic resins in primary forms (6.3068);
- Soap and detergents production (4.0985);
- Crude oil and casinghead gas production (3.0553);

Machine-building Cluster:
- Lorries production (19.1513);

Food Cluster:
- Spices and seasonings production (10.2402);
- Cheese production (9.9852);
- Malt production (9.5761);

Textile Cluster:
- Knitted goods production (3.0006);
- Footwear production (2.5955).

In 2009 appreciable strengthening of positions in the region’s industrial profile structure (more than 10 % localization factor increase) have occurred in the following economic activities:
- Manufacture of rubber tyres, tyre casings and inner tubes (the rate of increase - 3.6949);
- Household electric devices production (1.9327);
- Production of electrical machines and electrical equipment (1.7691);
- Plastic products production (1.7083);
- Production of bread and nondurable pastry (1.3675);
- Beer production (1.1434);
- Lorries production (1.1340).

The comparative estimation of the RT industrial profile by the alternative localization factor calculated via the average number of employees confirms the profile economic activities supplementing them with the following productions:

Machine-building Cluster:
- Lorries production (13.540);
- Household electric devices production (3.905);

Textile Cluster:
- Knitted goods production (4.145);
- Footwear production (2.665);

Food Cluster:
- Spices and seasonings production (6.563);
- Cheese production (3.830);
- Butter production (3.812);
- Malt production (3.642);
- Processed fluid milk production (2.196)

Compared with 2008 the following productions have experienced an essential localization growth among profile economic activities of the Republic of Tatarstan:
- Manufacture of vegetative refined oils and fats (the increase rate - 2.002);
- Plastic products production (1.263);
- Household electric devices production (1.235);
- Production of brick, tile and other ceramic building products (1.233);
- Production of milk, cream and other dairy products in solid forms (1.215);
- Manufacture of rubber tyres, tyre casings and inner tubes (1.193);
- Lorries production (1.141);
- Production of concrete, plaster and cement products (1.134);
- Manufacture of plastic and synthetic resins in primary forms (1.119);
- Petrochemicals production (1.111).

Localization of building industry has had a 14.4 % growth.
In 2009 the RT economy maintained the tendency of the industrial profile structural change, with the specialization of the region in petrochemical, food and machine-building manufactures being strengthened. However despite a significant number of employees (especially in the food cluster economic activities), the turnover of many productions considerably lag behind the branch values. A fairly low efficiency characterizes also a number of machine-building (household electric devices production) and petrochemical clusters (petrochemicals production). To estimate factor features of the revealed tendencies a deeper structural analysis of competitiveness at the inter-regional level is required.

Thus, the given matrix model enables to position and estimate competitive advantages of a territory’s profile economic activities revealing potential branch priorities. Similarly within the limits of each economic activity a positioning matrix may be also suggested (with other territories acting aggregately as competitors) to carry out a comparative analysis of competitive conditions of a territory.
In addition to economic modeling, we conducted sociological research aimed at analyzing the competitiveness of the oil and gas enterprises as it is viewed from the positions of the key players in the field. Evaluation of the competitiveness is analyzed in sociology by level of satisfaction of the key stakeholders to such indicators as social and economic policy [10], environmental assessment and responsibility [11-14], influence to the quality of life and people social well-being [15].

Competitiveness of oil and gas sphere in Russia as a whole was evaluated differently: from high to rather low scores: “compared to the average level for the Russian Federation we estimate the competitiveness of the oil and gas enterprises in the republic quite high, however compared to other countries it stays in a low level” (“Tatneftekhiminvest group”). A pronounced group of experts highlighted that presence on national market foreign companies with the unique technologies pushed Russian companies to be actively engaged in a rapid development and technological renovation. Exploration of the new niches happens both by products and geographical expansion (primarily by the invasion to the African and Middle East markets).

While discussing different domains of the competitiveness, heads of the oil and gas enterprises emphasized key accomplishments and challenges in the field.

Heads of the enterprises connect positive dynamics in the development of oil and gas field of the Republic of Tatarstan with the improvement of the main financial and economic indicators, strengthening global competition in the main commodity markets, transition to modern technologies and advancement of separate production.

The companies’ achievements are included but not limited to equipment renewal, increase of the innovation technologies and fruitful financial stimulation from the government. Furthermore, the main methods and technologies which companies employed for the increase of competitiveness are innovation of material resources, development of new quality of production and increase in efficiency of productions: “upgrade of facilities, replacement of more modern technologies, the introduction of quality management system, improvement of environmental performance, energy-efficiency...” (“Tatneftekhiminvest group”). "To improve the competitiveness of our businesses, we create new products of high quality and increase the efficiency of production" (“TMS Group”).

Furthermore, corporate environmental responsibility is seen as the one of the competitiveness advantage over other similar companies since few Russian companies from the field fully meet all the environmental sound requirements. According to the research majority of sampled oil and gas enterprises perform green standards in production (for example, certification on compliance of system of ecological management to requirements of the international ISO 14001:2004 standards, renewable and green technologies, etc.). Introducing green technologies are described by companies by financial incentives: “going green is becoming less costly for your budget and you can reduce the expenses as well” (“TMS Group”), by the decrease of the negative impact on the environment: “key advantage among many is the decrease of the negative impact for environment...” (LLC “Tatneft”), as a competitive advantage: “implementing the policies of green technologies in the company and aggressively promoting them are becoming our competitive advantage over many similar companies in the market...however I know that some companies use them for green washing...” (“Tatneftekhiminvest group”).

The companies’ challenges include low production’s competitiveness compared with the international markets (for example, rubber, petroleum products, household chemicals, plastics), all the technologies are financed out of its own profits and low qualified staff.

Furthermore, one of the main problems is a lack of professionals on the market, such as machinists, lathe, milling machine, CNC operators, welders, toolmakers, electricians, instrumentation mechanics, drivers, etc. Experts have identified various reasons for such a discrepancy involved the current problems of the labor market and the system of primary and secondary vocational education: “the number and quality of graduates of primary and secondary professional educational institutions do not meet the needs of the enterprises” (“TNG - Group”).

Another critical problem is hard working conditions associated with work: “the work involves working in harsh environments, shift work, living in hostels” (“TNG - Group”). The last but not least drawback is the low popularity and prestige of working jobs among youth. In order to increase of the employees’ loyalty, the following activities are conducted: social support to employees and their families (for example, programs of workers’ insurance, etc.), economic stimulation (for example, economic support of young families, etc.), professional employees’ development (for example, paid by companies’ professional development courses, etc.).
While the majority of experts mentioned that their interaction with local governmental authorities is built in a positive way, some experts noted such problems as bureaucracy and corruption.

Majority of heads of the oil and gas enterprises recognize problems with Russia's integration to the World Trade Organization. Among the main drawbacks they mentioned the closing of productions, radical shift in the national oil and gas market, strengthening competition in the national market, risks of expansion of the Chinese oilfield services companies. Along similar lines, some heads noticed positive consequences and opportunities for their enterprises: development of the joint productions, research centers, accelerations the processes of modernization of the Russian economy, etc. On the other hand, main problems include high rates on the credits, administrative barriers, deficiency of the main raw materials, etc.

Experts offered best practices for oil and gas enterprises adaptation to WTO conditions, such as quality maintenance of processes and production, reduction of product cost by management of expenses, increase of efficiency of marketing activity.
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